

E-FILED: 06-18-2014

CLOSED

Edwin J. Richards #43855
 edwin.richards@kutakrock.com
 Antoinette P. Hewitt #181099
 antoinette.hewitt@kutakrock.com
 Christopher D. Glos, # 210877
 christopher.glos@kutakrock.com
 KUTAK ROCK LLP
 Suite 1500
 5 Park Plaza
 Irvine, CA 92614-8595
 Telephone: (949) 417-0999
 Facsimile: (949) 417-5394

Attorneys for Defendant
 CITY OF WEST HOLLYWOOD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANNA KIHAGI, an individual,
 Plaintiff,
 v.
 CITY OF WEST HOLLYWOOD,
 CALIFORNIA, A California public
 facilities corporation,
 Defendant.

Case No. 2:14-cv-00936-PSG (JEMx)

Assigned to Hon. Philip S. Gutierrez,
 District Judge, Ctrm 880 – Roybal Cthse
 Hon. John E. McDermott, Magistrate
 Judge, Ctrm C – LA – Spring Str Cthse

**[EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES
 PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE
 § 6103]**

~~**[PROPOSED]**~~

**JUDGMENT AS TO PLAINTIFF
 ANNA KIHAGI**

Complaint Filed: February 12, 2014
Trial Date: None set

Plaintiff Anna Kihagi (“Plaintiff”) filed a Complaint alleging claims for violation of (1) the Federal Fair Housing Act, (2) the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, and (3) the West Hollywood Municipal Code section 9.28.030. Defendant City of West Hollywood (“Defendant”) responded by filing a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s Complaint pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) (Docket No. 12), and a Motion to Strike Plaintiff’s second and third claims pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 425.16 (Docket No. 11) (singularly, “Motion”, collectively, “Motions”).

1 The Court found these Motions appropriate for decision without oral
2 argument. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 78(b); L.R. 7-15.

3 Defendant's Motions were set for hearing on June 16, 2014. As a result,
4 Plaintiff's opposition briefs were due on May 23, 2014. *See* L.R. 7-9. Plaintiff did
5 not file an opposition brief for either Motion. Pursuant to Local Rule 7-12, the
6 Court deemed Plaintiff to have consented to the Motions. Plaintiff did not and has
7 not requested leave to amend.

8 After considering Defendant's unopposed moving papers, the Court granted
9 the Motions on May 28, 2014, and dismissed the Complaint without leave to
10 amend.

11 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Judgment
12 of Dismissal be entered in favor of Defendant against Plaintiff. Based on said
13 judgment, Plaintiff shall take nothing by way of the Complaint against Defendant.

14
15 Dated: June 18, 2014

PHILIP S. GUTIERREZ

Hon. Philip S. Gutierrez
United States District Judge